From:

<Kkpekdietz@aol.com>

To:

<mtl@nrc.gov>

Date: Subject: 10/28/02 10:56AM LES white papers

Dear Michael Lesar:

I am writing to you regards the Louisiana Energy Services (LES) "white papers."

- (1) It is unacceptable that the NRC is even considering this effort by an intending license applicant to manipulate the licensing procedure in its favor.
- (2) The content of the white papers reveals that LES is seeking prejudgment on issue areas that have caused it trouble in the past, or on issues which are potentially problematic. The submission of these memoranda is an overt attempt on the part of LES to evade thorough public and government investigation in areas where the company knows itself to be vulnerable.\*
- (3) The specific issues raised by LES in the white papers are themselves problematic.\* To restrict or exempt full consideration of such weighty matters as the comparative environmental impact of a "no action" alternative, environmental justice, the consortium's financial qualifications, anti-trust concerns, foreign control and ownership issues, and the disposition of tailings, would reduce NRC's licensing procedure to a flimsy rubber-stamp and further erode public confidence in the agency as an effective regulator.
- (4) A dangerous precedent would be set if the NRC allows LES to manipulate the licensing procedure in this way.\* It is highly improper for the NRC to allow a potential license applicant to define the parameters of licensing considerations, in effect, calling for prejudgment in their favor.\* This violates NRC's own licensing regulations, undermines even the pretense of objectivity in the agency's licensing activities, and calls into question the ability of the NRC Commissioners to be a dispassionate appeals body for Atomic Safety and Licensing Board actions.
- (5) Also, request that the comment period on these white papers be extended to at least 90 days.\* The allotted period is insufficient, if meaningful public participation is the goal, especially given the breadth of issues dealt with in the white papers, difficulties in accessing these documents, and the level of controversy surrounding the LES proposal.

Please let me know your response. Thank you. Kerry Dietz 126 Wiltshire Road Claymont, DE 19703-3310 kkpekdietz@aol.com

CC:

<dam2@nrc.gov>

Template-Apm-013

ERIDS. LOM-03
ALL- T. Johnson
(TCJ)

16/2/02 67 FR 61933 (39) Mail Envelope Properties (3DBD5E26.6B8: 17:46776)

**Subject:** Creation Date:

LES white papers 10/26/02 4:29PM

From:

<Kkpekdietz@aol.com>

**Created By:** 

Kkpekdietz@aol.com

## **Recipients**

nrc.gov

twf4\_po.TWFN\_DO MTL (Michael Lesar)

DAM2 CC (Doris Mendiola)

**Post Office** 

twf4\_po.TWFN\_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files

**Size** 2228

Date & Time

10/26/02 04:29PM

MESSAGE Part.001

2385

Mime.822

5798

Options

None

**Expiration Date: Priority:** 

Standar

Reply Requested:

Standard No

Reply Requested: Return Notification:

None

**Concealed Subject:** 

No

**Security:** 

Standard